GOODS ASPECTS. (Programming)

* It has certain structure.
* Keeps the Regular size equal in all paragraphs.
* It is responsive.
* They are taking in consideration the Flesh-Kincaid recommendation about no more than lines/sentences per paragraphs.

BAD ASPECTS. (Programming)

* They are not using the <H1> element.
* They are using a <H2> as the main headline, not following the rules for the use of headlines.
* The article structure is not right, it has long paragraphs and small font-sizes.
* The Banner or main pictures is not cited.
* They are not using the elements <figure> neither <figcaption> in the IMG section.
* The <h2> is working with an <a> anchor element, there is no need for it because you are already in the article, why you should click again in the title?
* There is no contrast or clear differentiation between the headline, the lead paragraph and the regular text. **(WCAG) (Content must be perceivable).**
* Is not possible to navigate through the article via keyboard. **(WCAG) (Interface must be operable).**
* The font-sizes are not the most accurate, too small sizes in the regular text. **(Readability issues).**
* It is not recommended to use thin fonts on light backgrounds.
* Not using byline.
* Not using Date of publication.
* Don’t apply the **Flesh-Kincaid** recommendation about 15 word per sentences, in this case is 17.66 average words per sentence.
* Readability score: **30.6.**
* Don’t have a print Version.
* They use **<br>** to add space between paragraphs, this is a bad practice according to the W3C.
* There is not support of the information, it looks like the writer’s opinion more than an article, maybe it should has a quote, external links, etc.
* They use one big <p> Paragraph to wrap all the paragraphs splitting them in <span>. (Bad practice).

